FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0609 Title: Revise Liquor Laws

Primary

Sponsor: Chase Hibbard Status: As introduced

Sponsor signature	Date	Dave Lewis, Budget Director	Date

Fiscal Summary

	FY2000 <u>Difference</u>	FY2001 <u>Difference</u>
Expenditures: General Fund	\$19,157	\$14,392
Revenue: General Fund	\$10,000	\$5,000
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:	(\$9,157)	(\$9,393)

Yes	No X	Significant Local Gov. Impact	$\frac{Yes}{X}$ No	Technical Concerns
	X	Included in the Executive Budget	X	Significant Long- Term Impacts

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1. There will be 50 out-of state shippers who pay an initial license fee of \$200. The renewal fee is \$100. The general fund will receive \$10,000 in FY 2000 and \$5,000 in FY 2001.
- 2. In FY 2000, from October to June, 2000, an FTE, grade 11, will establish the procedures, process initial applications (1.00 FTE x ¾ of year); thereafter, a 0.50 FTE will renew applications, conduct audits, and seize unlicensed wine shipments. The total cost is \$19,157 for FY 2000 and \$14,392 for FY 2001.

Fiscal Note Request, HB0609, as introduced Page 2

(continued)

FISCAL IMPACT:

	FY2000	FY2001			
Department of Revenue	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>			
FTE	0.75	0.50			
Expenditures:					
Personal Services	\$19,157	\$14,392			
<u>Funding:</u>					
General Fund (01)	\$19,157	\$14,392			
Revenues:					
General Fund (01)	\$10,000	\$5,000			
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Expenditure):					
General Fund (01)	(\$9,157)	(\$9,392)			

TECHNICAL NOTES:

- 1. The proposal does not provide a mechanism for the Department of Revenue (DOR) to acquire information on non-compliant out-of-state wine shippers.
- 2. The proposal does not provide adequate ability for DOR to pursue non-compliant out-of-state wine shippers.